I'M AF/#

Application/Control No.: 09/677,493

Filing Date: 10/02/2000

Applicant: George Guang Yang

Previous used name: Guang Yang)

392 Hans Way San Jose, CA 95133 Tel: (408) 729-1282 E-mail: guangyang14@hotmail.com

January 11, 2008

JAN 1 5 2008

The Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Notice of Appeal

Dear Board Members,

I, George Guang Yang, independent inventor and appellant, filed my patent application, INTEGRATED DATABASE DATA EDITING SYSTEM, in your office on October 2, 2000 (#09/677,493). My patent application Claims 1-7 were finally rejected by your "Office Action Summary" and "Detailed Action" dated November 23, 2007 and mailed to me on November 28, 2007 by the examiner Mr. Baoquoc N. To. I file this "Notice of Appeal" to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences to appeal your final rejection of my Claims 1-7 pursuant to 37 CFR § 41.31(a)(1). Attached is the \$255.00 check for filing this "Notice of Appeal" under 37 CFR § 41.20(b)(1).

My patent application is original and useful, and is patentable under 35 U.S.C. § 101. My Claims 1-7 are totally different from the prior arts of Gill et al. (US Patent No. 6,005,560), Bowman-Amuah (US Patent No. 6,256,773), Allport (US Patent No. 6,104,334), Koppolu et al. (US Patent No. 5,801,701), Moursund (US Patent No. 5,644,739), Teper et al. (US Patent No. 5,815,665) and any previous inventors. The fact that your office actions rejected my patent application Claims 1-7 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) does not have any factual merit or legal basis and should be reversed. My Claims 1-7 should be allowed by your office as soon as possible.

I have communicated many times with your office and explained that my patent application Claims 1-7 are totally different from any of the prior arts and are patentable. I have sent my response letters and appeals to your office on 09/10/2007, 06/04/2007, 01/05/2007, 09/07/2006, 07/27/2006, 03/06/2006, 03/15/2005, 09/27/2004, 02/19/2004, 01/05/2004, 05/29/2003, 02/27/2003 and 08/12/2002, correspondently to respond your office actions mailed to me on 09/06/2007, 05/18/2007, 12/15/2006, 08/18/2006, 06/06/2006, 02/14/2006, 03/04/2005, 02/12/2004, 08/12/2003, 05/21/2003, 02/13/2003 and 08/01/2002. I have also had several telephone conversations and exchanged emails many times regarding my patent application with the examiner, Mr. Baoquoc N. To.

My patent application is very significant in both technology and economy fields. Once it is approved and implemented as commercial software products, it will play a very significant role to make progress for our "Hi-Tech" industry and to prosper our economy. It has taken about eight years now to process my patent application in your office since I filed my application on October 2, 2000, which has consumed more than a third of my productive years in my life and caused me a great suffering to my career and my personal life. I have being survived by taking the Federal Social Security Benefit Program for depression for years now. I request the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences to reverse the final rejection of my patent Claims 1-7 and to approve my patent application as soon as possible.

Sincerely,

George Guang Yang, Ph.D.

Independent Inventor/Appellant